0	5~	. 1			MACO V
---	----	-----	--	--	--------

CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:

Jan William Carlo Marie

STEVEN DEARBORN, pro se,		
Plaintiff MA	GISTRATE JUDGE () ()	
Vs.) HEGEN 1/3/8/ AMOUNT \$250 SUMMONS ISSUED NIA	<u>Z</u>
COMMISSIONER OF CORRECTIONS, BARNSTABL COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BARNSTABLE COUNTY SHE AND BARNSTABLE COUNTY HOUSE OF CORRECTIONS	RIFF)	
SUPERINTENDENT, Defendants)	

DEFENDANTS, BARNSTABLE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BARNSTABLE COUNTY SHERIFF AND BARNSTABLE **COUNTY HOUSE OF CORRECTIONS SUPERINTENDENT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

Defendants, Barnstable County Commissioners, Barnstable County Sheriff and Barnstable County House of Corrections Superintendent (hereinafter "Barnstable County Defendants") by their attorneys, hereby give notice that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446 this action is removed to this Court from the Superior Court Department of the Trial

LAW OFFICES ROBERT 5. TROY SEXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK 90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY (ROUTE 6A)

> SANDWICH, MA 02563-1866

- Steven Dearborn (hereinafter "Dearborn") is an inmate of the Barnstable County House of Correction and Jail.
- 2. On or about January 21, 2005, Dearborn filed a Complaint in Suffolk Superior Court seeking damages for mental distress, emotional distress, embarrassment, pain and suffering, which he allegedly suffered while incarcerated at the Jail and allegedly required to shower while in handcuffs.
- 3. This Complaint was served on the Barnstable County Defendants on March 8, 2005.
- 4. Dearborn's Complaint stated that the Defendants violated his rights under the Eight Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 5. Dearborn's claim is governed by the Prison Litigation Reform Act,42 U.S.C. §§ 1997e et seq..
- 6. Dearborn has failed to comply with and exhaust administrative remedies in accordance with the Prison Litigation Reform Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1997e et seq..

LAW OFFICES

ROBERT S. TROY

SEXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK

90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY

(ROUTE 6A)

SANDWICH, MA

02563-1866

- 7. Section 1141 of Title 28 permits the removal of "any civil action brought in a State court of which the district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction. . . ."
- 8. Section 1141(b) provides that "Any action of which the district courts have original jurisdiction founded on a claim or right arising under the Constitution . . . of the United States shall be removable without regard to the citizenship or residence of the parties."
- 9. Plaintiff's complaint seeks relief under the United States
 Constitution and federal statutes and therefore, presents a question
 of which the District Court has original jurisdiction.
- 10. Plaintiff alleges a violation of his constitutional right against cruel unusual punishment, a claim that necessarily requires interpretation of federal constitutional law, and therefore, a federal question.
- 11. Furthermore, before the plaintiff may proceed with a civil lawsuit, he is required to exhaust all remedies available to him under Prison Litigation Reform Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1997e et seq..
- 12. The plaintiff contends that he has exhausted all of said remedies, however, the County Defendants have raised as an Affirmative Defense the plaintiff's failure to exhaust all of the remedies available to him under Prison Litigation Reform Act, 42 U.S.C. §§

LAW OFFICES
ROBERT S. TROY
SEXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK
90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY
(ROUTE 6A)
SANDWICH, MA
02563-1866

- 1997e et seq.. This will require an interpretation of federal law, and is therefore a federal question.
- 13. Since this Court has original jurisdiction of these claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, removal is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446.
- 14. This Court has pendant jurisdiction over Dearborn's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(c) and/or supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 15. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), copies of all documents served upon Defendants are attached hereto, as well as a copy of the County Defendants' Answer. Pursuant to Local Rule 81.1 attested copies of all of the pleadings and a certified copy of the docket sheet from the state court file shall be filed by the Defendants within thirty (30) days after the filing of this notice.

WHEREFORE, the defendants remove this action from the Superior Court Department of the Trial Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in and for Suffolk County to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.

LAW OFFICES
ROBERT S. TROY
SEXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK
90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY
(ROUTE 6A)
SANDWICH, MA

02563 1866 ------TEL. (508) 888-5700 DATED: March 31, 2005

Respectfully submitted, For the Barnstable County Defendants, By their Attorney,

Robert S. Troy

Robert S. Troy & Associates

90 Route 6A

Sandwich, MA 02563

(508) 888-5700

BBO#503160

LAW OFFICES ROBERT S. TROY SEXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK 90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY (ROUTE 6A) SANDWICH, MA

02563-1866

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Robert S. Troy, do hereby certify that this day I served a true copy of the within Notice of Removal and Category Form by mailing same, first class mail, postage prepaid to:

Mr. Steven Dearborn, pro se #14676 K-POD #12 600 Sheriff's Place Bourne, MA 02532

Robert S. Troy

Dated: March <u>3/</u>, 2005

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

SUFFOLK, 55.



SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT CIVIL ACTION

SUMMONS

To	the	above-named	Defendant:
----	-----	-------------	------------

You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon

6000 SHEELFFS plaintiff whose address is_ the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within 20 days after service of this summons upon you. exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You are also required to file your answer to the complaint in the office of the Clerk of this court at Boston either before service upon plaintiff () or within a reasonable time thereafter.

Unless otherwise provided by Rule 13(a), your answer must state as a counterclaim any claim which you may have against the plaintiff which arises out of the transaction or occurrence that is the subject matter of the plaintiff's claim or you will thereafter be barred from making such claim in any other action.

Barbara J. Rouse, J. Witness, Suzaria XXIIII Westing, Esquire, at Boston, the

_, in the year of our Lord two thousand

Michael Joseph Donovan

Clerk/Magistrate

- 1. This summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 2. When more than one defendant is involved, the names of all defendants should appear in the caption. If a separate summons is used for each defendant, each should be addressed to the particular defendant.
- 3. TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: PLEASE CIRCLE TYPE OF ACTION INVOLVED (1) TORT — (2) MOTOR VEHICLE TORT — (3) CONTRACT — (4) EQUITABLE RELIEF

(5) OTHER

FORM CIV.P. 1 3rd Rev.

MAR. 8. 2005 5:37 PM

Commonwealth of Massachusetts County of Suffolk The Superior Court

CIVIL DOCKET # SUCV2005-00258-D

NO. 2527 P. 4

Dearborn, #14676 v Dennehy, Comr Massachusetts Department Corrections et al RE:

TO:Steven Dearborn, #14676 Barstable House of Correction 6000 Sheriff's Place Buzzards Bay, MA 02532

TRACKING ORDER - F TRACK

You are hereby notified that this case is on the track referenced above as per Superior Court Standing Order 1-88. The order requires that the various stages of litigation described below must be completed not later than the deadlines indicated.

STAGES OF LITIGATION

DEADLINE

The final pre-trial deadline is not the scheduled date of the conference. You will be notified of that date at a later time.

Counsel for plaintiff must serve this tracking order on defendant before the deadline for filing return of service.

This case is assigned to session "D" sitting in CtRm 1 - 12th fl., 90 Devonshire St., Boston Suffolk Superior Court.

Dated: 02/08/2005

Michael Joseph Donovan Clerk of the Court

Location: CtRm 1 - 12th fl., 90 Devonshire St., Boston

Telephone: 617-788-8110

BY:Jane M. Mahon Assistant Clerk

Disabled Individuals who need handicap accommodations should contact the Administrative Office of the Superior Court at (617) 788-8130

3) DEFENDANTS BARNSTABLE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ARE LOCATED BY THE SUPERIOR COURT HOUSE ON 3195 MAIN STREET

Pg. 1 OF 6

-MAR. 8.2005 5:37PM BCL NO.2527 P. 6

SUFFOLK COUNTY SS.

SUPERIOR COURT Civil ACTION No. 05-0258-D

PARTIES (CONTINUED)

P.O. BOX 427 IN BARNSTABLE, MASSACHUSETTS 02630.

- 4) DEFENDANT JAMES CUMMINGS IS THE SHERIFF OF BARN-STABLE COUNTY WHO'S OFFICE IS LOCATED AT 6000 SHERIFF. PLACE IN BOURNE, MASSACHUSETTS 02532.
- 5) DEFENDANT MICHAEL REGAN IS THE SUPERINTENDENT OF BARNSTRELE HOUSE OF CORRECTION WHO'S OFFICE IS LOCATED AT 6000 SHERIFFS PLACE IN BOURNE, MASS-ACHUSETTS 02532.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

6) ON NOVEMBER 15, 2009 while IN THE CARE AND CUSTON OF BARNSTABLE Sheriffs DEPARTMENT (HOUSE OF CORRECTION) PLAINTIFF BEGAN SERVING (30) DAYS IN THE

SEGREGATION UNIT "POD-F" FOR DISIPLINARY INFRACTIONS

1) DURING THE DURNTION OF HIS (30) DAY SEGREGATION PERIOD,
PLAINTIFE WAS A HOWED TO ShowER MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY
EACH WEEK DURING A (1) HOUR RECRENTION PERIOD.

Pg. 2 OF 6

2005 5:38PM B NO. 2521
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS -MAR. 8.2005 5:38PM

NO. 2527 P. 7

SUFFOIK COUNTY, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT Civil AcTION NO 05-0258-1

STRICMENT OF FACTS (CONT.)

INTO A SHOWER STALL AND SECURED BEHIND A METAL DOOR which can only be unlocked from THE OUTSIDE OF THE DOOR BY THE CORRECTIONAL OFFICER ASSIGNED TO this SEGREGATION UNIT. THEREFORE, THIS SHOWER STALL IS INESCAPOBLE AND POSES NO SECURITY THREAT.

- 9) DURING EACH SHOWER PERIOD, FROM NOVEMBER 15, 2004 TO BE HANDCUFFED DURING All ShowER PERIODS.
- 10) ON NOVEMBER 17, 2004, PLAINTIFF FILED AN INMATE - GRIEVANCE FORM PURSUANT TO CODE OF MASSACHUSETTS -REGULATIONS TITLE 103: 934, 02, COMPLAINING OF THIS INHUMANE PUNISHMENT OF BEING HANDOUFFED While ShowERING. (SEE EMIBIT A)
- 11) ON NOVEMBER 19, 2004 PLAINTIFF RECIEVED A RESPONSE TO THIS GRIEUWICE FROM STAFF MAJOR P. LUCAS" - DENYING HIS REQUEST NOT TO BE HANDCUFFED While ShowERING (SEE EXHIBIT B). PLAINTIFF THEN APPENLED THIS DECISION TO THE SUPERINTENDENTS OFFICE.

12) ON NOWMBER 22, 2004, DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT Pg. 3 or 6

-MAR. 8. 2005 5:38PM BC NO. 2527 P.

SUFFOLK COUNTY SS.

SUPERIOR COURT Civil Honors No. 95-0258-

STATEMENT OF FACTS (CONT.)

BONAVITA CAME TO POD-F, ISOLATION) UNIT, TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S GRIEVANCE APPEAL. DEATH BONAVITA" PRODUCED PLAINTIFF'S APPEAL AND (VERBALLY) STATED REASONS FOR FLETHER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S GRIEVANCE AND ALSO WARNED PLAINTIFF, NOT TO CHALLENGE SUCH DENIAL OR THERE WOULD be REPERCUSSION.

13) AT THAT PSINT, PLAINTIFF HAD EXHAUSTED ALL ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PRISON LITIENTION REFORM ACT, 42 U.S.C. & 1997 & AND M.G.L.C. 127, \$ 38 F.

Claims FOR ReliEF

14) PLAINTIFF INCORPORATES, BY REFERENCE, THE FACTS IN PARAGEOPHS 1-13 OF THIS COMPLAINT AS THOUGH FULLY.

15) AS A DIRECT AND PROXIMATE RESUlt OF THE ACTS OF
All the SEPENDANTS, SEPARATELY ACTIVE UNDER COLOR
OF LAW, PLAINTIFF DID SUFFER EMOTIONAL DISTRESS,
PAIN AND SUFFERING, AND MENTAL ANGUISH AND EMPLAREASSMENT OF SUCH NATURE THAT NO REASONABLE PERSON
Pg. 4 OF 6

Commonwealth of MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK COUNTY, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT Civil AcTION

Claims FOR RELIEF (CONT.)

Could or should be expected to expure, AND THE AFOREMENTIONED DEFENDANTS KNEW, OR Should have KNOWN, THAT THEIR ACTIONS WOULD CAUSE SUCH DISTRESS, PAIN AND SUFFERING, ANGUISH AND EMBARRASSMENT.

- (6) THE FACTS SET FORTH HEREIN VIOLATE PLAINTIFFS RIGHTS UNDER THE EIGHTH AMENOMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND UNDER THE MASSACHUSETTS DECLARATION OF RIGHTS (ART. 27) THE DEFENDANTS ACTIONS DO NOT "COMPORT WITH THE EVOLVING STANDARDS OF DECENCY AS A MATURING SOCIETY?
- 17) FURTHERMORE, IF FOLLOWED THROUGH WITH, THE POSSIBLE FURTHER NOTIONS OF THE DEFENDANTS, IN PARAGEOPH 12, would violate PlainTIFFS RIGHTS WOER CODE OF MASSNONUSETTS REGULATIONS, TITLE 103: 934. 01: INMATE RIGHTS (REQUIRED) "INMATES SEEKING JUDICIAL OR MOMINISTRATIVE REDRESS, Shall NOT BE PUNISHED OR SUBJECTED TO REPRISAIS, OR PENALTIES, AS A CONSEQ-UENCE"

¹⁸⁾ THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THIS COMPLAINT VIOLATE PLAINTIFFS RIGHTS UNDER FEDERAL AND STATE LAW.

MAR. 8. 2005 5:39PM

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOIK COUNTY, 55.

SUPERIOR COURT Crust Acrisis NO35-0258-1)

PRAYERS FOR ReliEF

- 19) GRANT SUCH EQUITABLE, PUNITIVE, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, AND JUST AND PROPER.
- 20) GRANT DOMAGES IN THE AMOUNT OF ONE HUNDRED ______
- all GRANT SUCH STHER AND FURTHER RELIEF AS THIS COURT DEEMS JUST AND PROPER.

Sturen DENEBARN

STEVEN DENEBARN

#14676 (B.S.O.)

6000 SHERIFFS PLACE

BORNE, MA

02532

DATE: 1/14/05

BC. SACK SINE MINU

BARNSTABLE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE / CORRECTIONAL FACILITY INMATE GRIEVANCE FORM

Instructions for completion: (1) Print or write legibly; provide all requested information; sign and date this form. (2) Each form will contain only one grievance. (3) A grievance will not be filed by a group or on behalf of a group of inmates. (4) Forward this form to your Unit Officer/Corrections Officer, who will forward it to the Facility Shift Supervisor if not resolved (5) All grievances must be submitted within (10) ten working days of the incident.

INMATE SECTION

	t po 3	
	ME: STEVEN DERKBORN MSA#:00/14676 UNIT: F ROOM#: 5	
UN	IT OFFICER: Flank grad DATE OF OCCURRENCE/INCIDENT: 11/15/04	
BF	IEF STATEMENT OF FACTS: I'M ENTITIED BY MAN TO MAKE UNINGTED MOLE	₹5 "< "
V (77	SOURTS KNO PUCHE OFFICIALLY NESCANTIVE MILL PICKETTS TOOK TO WILL YOU	\sim
J/ 🚄	CHONGE WENTED OUT MERCENS LIVE FRANCE LINE FOR THE SOLD TO THE STATE OF THE WAY	ca
m	WEITE MORE CORRESTOND WITH FROM ME TO PURCHE FERSONS ON THE OUTS!	<u>105</u> ,
CAA	Places kno control and mention white a sense sunt which	<u> </u>
12.00	PLOTES AND CAPTURE AND WESTING WITCHES WHILE IN SCHEDUNG TO PUBLIFIED WHICH WINDS THE BOUNDARY PROPERTY POR THE CONTROLLED OF MACERIANISTE POR FETCES	15
100	MEDI REQUESTED: The TO MY INTERMETION CEPTED FROM MY CORNIES - STORE	يم وحدد
<u>حکال</u> التارات	published THE Cloud STATURARY AND WESTING METALIS AND CONTRACT	2
to 12	TUBLIFIE THE MIND STATIONERS ROW WESTER MOTHERS RING CONTRATE OF THE SHOW NOT BE HAMOCUFFED WHILE TREIN & THOWARD! & METHERS RING CONTRATE OF YOU TALKED WITH YOUR UNIT OFFICER? YES NO RETILE 27.	(C)
\mathbf{H}_{A}	IVE YOU TALKED WITH YOUR UNIT OFFICER? YES NO	3/1
	VIOIRTIONS	
LL	YES NO	
IF	YES, WITH WHOM? WHEN? Green Tookly	7
	- The state of scent	
RI	SULTS: THEY TEIL ME THAT THEARES ON IN TO POUNDE THE ENTES TRATE	
RI	SULTS: THEY TEIL ME THAT THEARES ON IN TO POULING THE ENTES THAT THEY WERE TOUTH SUPPOSED TO BE A PRINCE STATES TOUTS TO SUPPOSED TO BE A PRINCE STATES TOUGHT OF PARTY OF SUPPOSED TO BE A PRINCE STATES	-
RI	SULTS: TWEY TELL ME TOUT THEREX ON IN TO POWING THE CIVES TOUT DELY WERE TOLD TO ENFORCE IT ON SUPPOSED TO BE A PRINTED TO THE CORRENTLY WINDLESD IN WILL DUE BANGES DOWN TOLS WISTING IS PROSESTING ME FROM A DE	-
RI F	SULTS: TWEY TELL ME TUST THEARES ON IN TO PORTUNE THE EVES TOUT DEVELOPMENT TO ENFORCE THE SUPPLIED TO BE A PRINCE STROTH SHOULD SOME LEGISLE SUPPLIED TO CHRESTING WHICH IN THE FROM MARKETTING ME FROM MARKETING TO PRINCE TO THE FROM MARKETING TO PRINCE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	1/8/1 V 2/7
RI F	SULTS: TWOY TEIL ME TOUT THEARE ON IN TO POULING THE LIFES THAT THEY WELL TO SUFFICIENT TO SUPPOSED TO BE A PRINCE STATION OF CHELLED IN PROPERTY INVOLVED IN CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY INVOLVED IN CONTROL OF THE STATION OF PROPERTY IN THE FROM MORE TO NOTIFICATE TO THE SUFFICIENT WE WERE TO NOTIFICATE OF PROTECTIONS TO WRITE POUR THE SUFFICIENT WAS THE FORM THE POUR TO NOTIFICATE OF THE SUFFICIENT OF THE SUF	1/8/1 V . e.J.D.
RI F	SULTS: TWOY TEIL ME TOUT THEARE ON IN TO POULING THE EVES THAT THEY WELL TO SUFFICIENT TO SUPPOSED TO BE RIGHTLY INVOLVED IN THE SUPPOSED TO BE RIGHTLY INVOLVED IN THE SUPPOSED TO THE PROPERTY INVOLVED IN THE PROPERTY IN THE FROM MORE TO THE SUFFICIENT OF THE SUFFICIENT WE FROM MORE TO TO ROBBERT SUPPOSED TO WELL TO THE SUFFICIENT WE WIND THE WALL TO THE SUFFICIENT WILL THE SUPPOSED TO WELL TO THE SUFFICIENT WILL THE SUPPOSED TO WELL TO THE SUFFICIENT WILL THE SUPPOSED TO WELL TO THE SUFFICIENT WILL THE TOTALL THE SUPPOSED TO WELL TO THE SUFFICIENT WILL THE SUPPLY THE TOTALL THE SUPPLY THE THE THE POWER THE MUTTANDS THE MUTTANDS TO WELL THE MUTTANDS TO WELL THE MUTTANDS TO WELL THE MUTTANDS THE MUTTANDS TO WELL TH	1/8/1 V . e.J.D.
RI F G G	SULTS: TWEY TELL ME TOUT THEARE ON IN TO POULING THE EVES TOUT THE WIELD TOUT TO ENFORCE IT ON SUPPOSED TO BE A PRIMARY TO THE PROPERTY INVIDITED IN THE DUE PRIVATES FOR THIS INSTITUTOR IS PRESIDENTIAL ME FROM MARE TO RESERVE TO RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF THE SUFFOCK SUPPOSED ON MATE SIGNATURE: THE	1/8/1 V . e.J.D.
RI F G G	SULTS: TWOY TEIL ME TOUT THEARE ON IN TO POULING THE LIFES THAT THEY WELL TO SUFFICIENT TO SUPPOSED TO BE A PRINCE STATION OF CHELLED IN PROPERTY INVOLVED IN CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY INVOLVED IN CONTROL OF THE STATION OF PROPERTY IN THE FROM MORE TO NOTIFICATE TO THE SUFFICIENT WE WERE TO NOTIFICATE OF PROTECTIONS TO WRITE POUR THE SUFFICIENT WAS THE FORM THE POUR TO NOTIFICATE OF THE SUFFICIENT OF THE SUF	1/8/1 V . e.J.D.
RI F G G	SULTS: THEY TE! ME THAT THERE'S ON O FOLLOWS THE EVES THAT THE WELL TO EUFORES THE SUPPOSED TO BE A KNOW STATION OF THE PROPERTY IN SUPPOSED TO BE A KNOW STATION OF PROPERTY IN THE FROM ME FOR TO ROBER'S FOUND TO ROBER'S FOUND TO WRITE MUTTONS UNIMITED MATE SIGNATURE: WHATE SIGNATURE: SHE SIGNATURE: SHE SIGNATURE: SHE SIGNATURE: SHE SHE SHE SHE SUPERVISOR SECTION	1/8/1 V . e.J.D.
RI F G G	SULTS: THEY TELL ME THAT THERE'S ON IN TO PORTING THE RIVES TRAIT OF MERCY TO THE REPORTS TO THE REPORTS TRAIT OF THE PROPERTY INTO AN OUR PROPERTY IN THE PROPERTY IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	1/8/1 V . e.J.D.
RI F G G	SULTS: TWEY TE! ME TOUT THEARY ON IN TO POULING THE RIVES TRANT DES WEED TO DE SUPPLIES TO SUPPLIED TO BE A PHONE STRING DES WEED TO DE COME SUPPLIES TO CHEASPOND TO THE SUPPLIES WE FROM MERCHANISM IN PROPERTY TO THE SUFFICIAL SUPPLIES ON MATE SIGNATURE: WHATE SIGNATURE: DATE: 11/17/04 FORM REJECTED ** Returned to Inmate for the following reason(s): LINIT MANAGER / FACILITY SHIFT SUPERVISOR SECTION	1/8/1 V . e.J.D.
RI F G G	SULTS: TWEY TE! ME TOUT THEARY ON IN TO POUNT THE SUPERIOR TO SUPPLIES TO ME FROM MERCHANIST TO SUPPLIES TO WRITE SUPPLIES TO SUPPLIES TO SUPPLIES TO SUPPLIES TO WRITE SUPPLIES TO SUPPLIES TO WRITE SUPPLIES TO	1/8/1 V . e.J.D.
RI	SULTS: They TEI ME TOUT THEART ON IN TO SULING THE RUTES TOWN THE WIRDS TOUR TO SUPPLIES TO SUPPLIED TO BE A NEW STATION THE WIRDS TOUR SUPPLIES TO CONSIDER TO BE A NEW STATION WILL OUR RANGES WHO THIS WISTINTON IS PRINTING THE FROM MARKED TO ROBBER FROM THE PROPERTY OF THE TOUR SUPPLIES OF WHITE PAIN THE MUTENS IN IMPLIES MATE SIGNATURE: CONT. MANAGER / FACILITY SHIFT SUPERVISOR SECTION FORM REJECTED ** Returned to Inmate for the following reason(s): THEY SUPPLY SHIFT SUPERVISOR SECTION	1/8/1 V . e.J.D.

GRIEVANCE REVIEW FORM....

INMATE STEVEN DEARBORN MSA#0014676

RESPONSE FROM: ADS.P.LUCAS UNIT MANAGER LT.P.M.MONTEIRO

- 1) PEN, PAPER AND ENVELOPES WILL BE SUPPLIED TO YOU FROM THE UNIT OFFICER FOR LEGAL AND GENERAL CORESPONDENCE.
- 2) LAW LIBRARY ACCESS WILL BE GRANTED DURING YOUR (1) HR RECREATION PERIOD AND ONLY AT THIS TIME.
- 3) YOU WILL REMAIN HANDCUFFED DURING YOUR SHOWER AS PER OUR POLICY ANDYOU WILL BE PLACED IN LEG RESTRAINTS WHEN YOU ARE OUT OF YOUR CELL.
- 4) YOU MAY ORDER CANTEEN WHEN AND IF YOU SERVE YOUR D/ISO TIME AND YOU ARE SEEN BY CLASSIFICATION AND MOVED TO THE AD-SEG UNIT (POD-G).
- 5) YOUR RIGHTS HAVE NOT BEEN VIOLATED IN ANY WAY SHAPE OR FORM DURING YOUR INCARCERATION AT THE (BCCF). YOUR BEHAVIOR HAS PUT YOU IN A POSITION THAT LIMITS YOU FROM OBTAINING WHAT YOU NEED TO GET DONE WITH YOUR LEGAL MATTERS.
- 6) PER OUR PLOICY YOUR GRIEVANCE HAS BEEN LOGGED AND FILED AND YOU HAVE RECEIVED A COPY OF OUR RESPONSE, AS I AM SURE YOU KNOW YOU MAY APPEAL MY DICESION TO THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDANT.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS

Superior Court
C.A. No. 05-0258

STEVEN DEARBORN, pro se,
Plaintiff
)

Vs.

COMMISSIONER OF
CORRECTIONS, BARNSTABLE
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,
BARNSTABLE COUNTY SHERIFF
AND BARNSTABLE COUNTY
HOUSE OF CORRECTIONS
SUPERINTENDENT,
Defendants

Superior Court
C.A. No. 05-0258

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

Now comes Robert S. Troy and respectfully enters his appearance on behalf of the Defendants, Barnstable County Commissioners, Barnstable County Sheriff's Department and Barnstable County House of Corrections Superintendent, with regard to the above-captioned action.

LAW OFFICES

ROBERT S. TROY

SEXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK

90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY

(ROUTE 6A)

SANDWICH, MA

02563-1866

¢ase 1:05-cv-10642-WGY Document 1-2 Filed 04/01/2005 Page 12 of 21

Respectfully submitted,

probert S. Tray Ich

Robert S. Troy BBO#503160 90 Route 6A Sandwich, MA 02563 (508) 888-5700

DATED: March 34, 2005

LAW OFFICES
ROBERT S. TROY
EXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK
90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY
(ROUTE 6A)
SANDWICH, MA
02563-1866

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS	Superior Court
	C.A. No. 05-0258
STEVEN DEARBORN, pro se,)
Plaintiff)
)
V_{S} .)
)
COMMISSIONER OF)
CORRECTIONS, BARNSTABLE)
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,)
BARNSTABLE COUNTY SHERIFF)
AND BARNSTABLE COUNTY)
HOUSE OF CORRECTIONS)
SUPERINTENDENT,)
Defendants)

ANSWER OF THE DEFENDANTS, BARNSTABLE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BARNSTABLE COUNTY SHERIFF, AND BARNSTABLE COUNTY HOUSE OF CORRECTIONS SUPERINTENDENT

Now come the Defendants, Barnstable County Commissioners,
Barnstable County Sheriff, and Barnstable County House of Corrections
Superintendent, and respectfully answers Plaintiff's Complaint as
follows:

LAW OFFICES

ROBERT S. TROY

SEXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK

90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY

(ROUTE 6A) SANDWICH, MA

02563-1866

- The Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of 1. Plaintiff's Complaint.
- The allegations set forth in Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint do 2. not pertain to the Defendants and, consequently, no response is required. To the extent any of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 2 relate to the Defendants, the Defendants deny same.
- The Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of 3. Plaintiff's Complaint.
- The Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of 4. Plaintiff's Complaint.
- The Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of 5. Plaintiff's Complaint.
- The Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of 6. Plaintiff's Complaint.
- The Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of 7. Plaintiff's Complaint, but note that there are sometimes exceptions to this policy.
- The Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of 8. Plaintiff's Complaint.

LAW OFFICES ROBERT S. TROY EXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK 90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY (ROUTE 6A) SANDWICH, MA 02563-1866

- The Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of 9. Plaintiff's Complaint.
- The Defendants admit that the Plaintiff filed an Inmate Grievance 10. Form, but deny that he was subject to inhumane punishment.
- 11. The Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- The Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of 12. Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 13. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- The Defendants reassert their responses to Paragraphs 1 through 13 14. above.
- 15. The Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- The Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of 16. Plaintiff's Complaint.
- The Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of 17. Plaintiff's Complaint.
- The Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of 18. Plaintiff's Complaint.

LAW OFFICES ROBERT 5. TROY EXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK 90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY (ROUTE 6A) SANDWICH, MA 02563-1866

- Paragraph 19 contains the Plaintiff's Prayer for Relief, 19. consequently, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Defendants deny same
- Paragraph 20 contains the Plaintiff's Prayer for Relief, 20. consequently, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Defendants deny same
- Paragraph 21 contains the Plaintiff's Prayer for Relief, 21. consequently, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the Defendants deny same

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed for lack of Sufficient Process.

SECOND DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed for Insufficient Service of Process.

LAW OFFICES ROBERT S. TROY SEXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK 90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY (ROUTE 6A) SANDWICH, MA 02563-1866

THIRD DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to state a claim for which relief may be granted.

FOURTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's action is barred by the Statute of Limitations.

FIFTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's cause of action under 42 USC Section 1983 is barred by the failure of the Plaintiff to show a pattern, custom or habit of the Defendants which denied the Plaintiff his constitutional rights.

SIXTH DEFENSE

The Defendants are immune from liability because their conduct does not establish any clear denial of constitutional or statutory rights which a reasonable person should have known.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

The Defendants acted in good faith at all times and acted in accordance with all applicable statutes, rules and regulations and are therefore immune from liability.

LAW OFFICES ROBERT 5, TROY SEXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK 90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY (ROUTE 6A) SANDWICH, MA 02563-1866

EIGHTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims against the Defendants are barred by the Doctrine of Qualified Immunity.

NINTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff cannot recover against the Defendants because the Defendants did not act with deliberate indifference to any of the Plaintiff's alleged constitutional rights.

TENTH DEFENSE

The Defendants are immune from liability because the alleged claim involves a judicial, legislative or executive act.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

At the time of the alleged complaint, the Defendants were acting in their capacity as public officials and therefore, Plaintiff's recovery is barred or limited in accordance with M.G.L. c. 258.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to meet the statutory requirements for filing suit under the Massachusetts Tort Claims Act.

LAW OFFICES ROBERT S. TROY SEXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK 90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY (ROUTE 6A) SANDWICH MA 02563-1866

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint must be dismissed because Plaintiff has failed to name the Defendants properly and/or to name the real parties in interest.

FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claim must be dismissed because Plaintiff has failed to comply with and exhaust administrative remedies in accordance with the Prison Litigation Reform Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1997e et seq..

FIFTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint is generally barred by the provisions of the Prison Reform Act and must be dismissed.

SIXTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claim must be dismissed because Plaintiff has failed to comply with and exhaust administrative remedies in accordance with M.G.L. c. 127 38E et. seq..

LAW OFFICES ROBERT 5, TROY EXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK 90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY (ROUTE 6A) SANDWICH, MA 02563-1866

SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

Page 20 of 21

The Defendants are immune from liability as they were acting as government officials performing discretionary functions.

WHEREFORE, the Defendants, request this Honorable Court to:

- (1) dismiss the Plaintiff's Complaint;
- (2) award the Defendants costs and Attorney's fees; and
- (3) award any other such relief that this Court deems proper and just.

DEFENDANTS DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES SO TRIABLE.

Respectfully submitted, For the Defendants, By their Attorney,

Robert S. Troy

90 Route 6A

Sandwich, MA 02563

(508) 888-5700

BBO#503160

LAW OFFICES
ROBERT S. TROY

SEXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK

90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY

(ROUTE 6A)

SANDWICH, MA

02563-1866

TEL. (508) 888-5700

DATED: March <u>24</u>, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Robert S. Troy, do hereby certify that this day I served a true copy of the within Notice of Appearance and Defendants' Answer by mailing same, first class mail, postage prepaid to:

Mr. Steven Dearborn, pro se #14676 K-POD #12 600 Sheriff's Place Bourne, MA 02532

Robert S. Troy KIL

Dated: March 24, 2005

LAW OFFICES ROBERT S. TROY EXTANT HILL OFFICE PARK 90 OLD KINGS HIGHWAY (ROUTE 6A) SANDWICH, MA 02563-1866

TEL. (508) 888-5700

p:\docs\bar\dearborn\certificate of service.doc

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

				e only) Steven					<u> </u>
2. Cate	gory in v	which the case be	elongs based	pon the numbered nat					_
rule	40.1(a)(1)).	ongs baseu u	pon the numbered nati	are of suit o	ode list	ed on the o	ivil cover s	heet (Sociona
:								_	cci. (See loca
·	1.	160, 410, 47	70, R.23, REGA	RDLESS OF NATURE	OF SOUT			Δ.	
Χ	11.	195, 196, 36	8 400 440 44	1 440 = 4 = =			4		
`7~~~		740, 790, 79	1, 820*, 830*, 8	1-446, 540, 550, 555, 62 40*, 850, 890, 892-894,	5, 710, 720,	, 730, *	Also conto	O 120	or AO 121
	III.	110, 120, 130	0 140 154 404		093, 930.		for pate	ademark o	or AO 121 or copyright case
ii		315, 320, 330	0, 340, 345, 350), 210, 230, 240, 245, 29), 355, 360, 362, 365, 37	0, 310,			.	
ş		380, 385, 450	0, 891.	, 000, 500, 502, 505, 3/	0, 371,				
	IV.	220, 422, 423	3, 430, 460, 48n	, 490, 610, 620, 630, 64			(See A		
ye**		690, 810, 861	1-865, 870, 871,	, 450, 610, 620, 630, 64 875, 900.	0, 650, 660,		` .		
1	V.	150, 152, 153		•		1	4		
		, 102, 100	,				IJ		
3. Title a	nd numb	er if any of soles							
distric	t please	indicate the title a	ed cases. (See	local rule 40.1(g)). If i the first filed case in th	more than	e prio	r related ca	ISO hao ha	n filad ta er -
,	010			the first filed case in th	is court.	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ise iias Dee	n filed in this
					₹ .				
. Hasa	prior acti	on between the sa	ame parties an	d based on the same o	10				
				a sasea on the same o		een file	d in this co	urt?	
Does to	he compl	aint in this			YES		NO	V	
§2403)	comp	anicin tilis case q	question the co	nstitutionality of an ac	t of congre	ss affec	ting the nu	hija	
							ang the pu	DIIC INTERES	t? (See 28 US(
lf so, is	the U.S.	A. or an officer, ag	gent or employ	ee of the U.S. a party?	YES		NO	(V)	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			£ 8			
				ce of the 0.5. a party?		San American S		1-12-1	
					YES			5 71	
Is this c					YES	San James Company		₩ ₩	
ls this c				by a district court of t	YES hree judge:	s pursu:		28 USC §228	34?
	ase requ	ired to be heard a	and determined	by a district court of t	YES hree judge: YFS	1	NO ant to title 2	Scannon	
Do ali of	ase requ	ired to be heard a	and determined	by a district court of t	YES hree judge: YES		NO ant to title a	∇	
Do ali of	ase requ	ired to be heard a	and determined	by a district court of t	YES hree judge: YES		NO ant to title a	∇	
Do ali of	ase requ	ired to be heard a	and determined	by a district court of t	YES hree judge: YES the united reside in th		NO ant to title 2 NO and the Cor division?	∇	
Do ali of	ase requ	ired to be heard a les in this action, 'governmental ag	and determined , excluding gov lencies"), resid	by a district court of the state of the stat	YES hree judge: YES the united reside in th	states a	NO ant to title a	∇	
Do ali of	ase requ f the part nusetts (*	ired to be heard a ies in this action, 'governmental ag If yes, in which	and determined , excluding gov jencies"), resid	by a district court of t	YES hree judge: YES the united reside in th	states a	NO ant to title 2 NO and the Cor division?	∇	
Do ali of	ase requ f the part nusetts (*	ired to be heard a les in this action, 'governmental ag	excluding governines of the second se	by a district court of the state of the stat	YES hree judge: YES the united reside in th YES tal parties r	states a	NO ant to title 2 NO and the Cor division? -	mmonwealth (See Local	
Do ali of	ase requ f the part nusetts (*	ired to be heard a les in this action, governmental ag If yes, in which Eastern Division	and determined, excluding governies"), residential division do all	by a district court of the remember of the remember of the massachusetts of the non-governmen Central Division	YES hree judge: YES the united reside in th YES tal parties r	states a le same	NO ant to title a NO and the Cor division? - NO Weste	mmonwealth (See Local	h of I Rule 40.1(d)).
Do ali of	tase required fithe part of the part of th	ired to be heard a les in this action, governmental ag If yes, in which Eastern Division	and determined, excluding governies"), residential division do all	by a district court of the remember of the remember of the massachusetts of the non-governmen Central Division	YES hree judge: YES the united reside in th YES tal parties r	states a le same	NO ant to title a NO and the Cor division? - NO Weste	mmonwealth (See Local	h of I Rule 40.1(d)).
Do ali of	tase required fithe part of the part of th	ired to be heard a ies in this action, 'governmental ag If yes, in which Eastern Division If no, in which d residing in Mass	and determined, excluding governoies"), residencies"), residencies", residencies all division do all division do the residence achusetts residence de la company division do the residence de la company de la com	by a district court of the second section of the second section of the non-governmen	YES hree judge: YES the united reside in th YES tal parties r	states a le same	NO ant to title a NO and the Cor division? - NO Weste	mmonwealth (See Local	h of I Rule 40.1(d)).
Do ali of	tase required fithe part of the part of th	ired to be heard a les in this action, governmental ag If yes, in which Eastern Division	and determined, excluding governoies"), residencies"), residencies", residencies all division do all division do the residence achusetts residence de la company division do the residence de la company de la com	ernmental agencies of ling in Massachusetts of the non-governmen Central Divisio majority of the plaintiff de?	YES hree judges YES the united reside in th YES tal parties r n	states a le same	NO ant to title 2 NO and the Cor division? - NO Weste	mmonwealth (See Local (See Local	h of I Rule 40.1(d)).
Do <u>all</u> of Massact	f the part nusetts (* A.	ired to be heard a ies in this action, 'governmental ag If yes, in which Eastern Division If no, in which d residing in Mass	and determined, excluding governies"), residencies"), residencies all division do all division do the residences achusetts residences all division do the residences achusetts residences achusetts residences and determined achusetts residences achusetts residenc	rernmental agencies of ding in Massachusetts of the non-governmen Central Division de?	YES hree judges YES the united reside in the YES tal parties r	states a le same reside?	NO ant to title 2 NO and the Cor division? - NO Weste	Timonwealth (See Local rn Division g governme	h of I Rule 40.1(d)). ental agencies,
Do <u>all</u> of Massact	f the part nusetts (* A.	ired to be heard a ies in this action, 'governmental ag If yes, in which Eastern Division If no, in which d residing in Mass	and determined, excluding governies"), residencies"), residencies all division do all division do the residences achusetts residences all division do the residences achusetts residences achusetts residences and determined achusetts residences achusetts residenc	rernmental agencies of ding in Massachusetts of the non-governmen Central Division de?	YES hree judges YES the united reside in the YES tal parties r	states a le same reside?	NO ant to title 2 NO and the Cor division? - NO Weste	Timonwealth (See Local rn Division g governme	h of I Rule 40.1(d)). ental agencies,
Do <u>all</u> of Massact	f the part nusetts (* A.	ired to be heard a ies in this action, 'governmental ag If yes, in which Eastern Division If no, in which d residing in Mass	and determined, excluding governies"), residencies"), residencies all division do all division do the residences achusetts residences all division do the residences achusetts residences achusetts residences and determined achusetts residences achusetts residenc	ernmental agencies of ling in Massachusetts of the non-governmen Central Divisio majority of the plaintiff de?	YES hree judges YES the united reside in the YES tal parties r	states a le same reside?	NO ant to title 2 NO and the Cor division? - NO Weste	Timonwealth (See Local rn Division g governme	h of I Rule 40.1(d)). ental agencies,
Do <u>all</u> of Massact	f the part nusetts (* A.	ired to be heard a ies in this action, 'governmental ag If yes, in which Eastern Division If no, in which d residing in Mass	and determined, excluding governies"), residencies"), residencies all division do all division do the residences achusetts residences all division do the residences achusetts residences achusetts residences and determined achusetts residences achusetts residenc	rernmental agencies of ding in Massachusetts of the non-governmen Central Division de?	YES hree judges YES the united reside in the YES tal parties r	states a le same reside?	NO and the Cordivision? - NO Westers, excluding	Timonwealth (See Local rn Division g governme	h of I Rule 40.1(d)). ental agencies,
Do <u>all</u> of Massact	f the part nusetts (* A. B.	ired to be heard a les in this action, 'governmental ag If yes, in which d Eastern Division If no, in which d residing in Mass Eastern Division Removal - are the sheet identifying	and determined, excluding governies"), residencies"), residencies all division do all division do the residences achusetts residences all division do the residences achusetts residences achusetts residences and determined achusetts residences achusetts residenc	rernmental agencies of ding in Massachusetts of the non-governmen Central Division de?	YES hree judge: YES f the united reside in the YES tal parties r n s or the onl	states a le same reside?	NO ant to title 2 NO and the Cor division? - NO Weste	Timonwealth (See Local rn Division g governme	h of I Rule 40.1(d)). ental agencies,
Do <u>all</u> of Massach If filling a l submit a s	f the part nusetts (* A. B.	ired to be heard a ies in this action, governmental ag If yes, in which a Eastern Division If no, in which d residing in Mass Eastern Division Removal - are the sheet identifying	ere any motions the motions)	ernmental agencies of ding in Massachusetts of the non-governmen Central Division majority of the plaintiff de? Central Division s pending in the state	YES hree judge: YES f the united reside in the YES tal parties r n s or the onl	states a le same reside?	NO and the Cordivision? - NO Westers, excluding	Timonwealth (See Local rn Division g governme	h of I Rule 40.1(d)). ental agencies,
Do <u>all</u> of Massach If filing a l submit a s	f the part nusetts (* A. B. Notice of separate E OR PR	ired to be heard a less in this action, governmental aguate of the second of the secon	and determined , excluding governoties"), residential division do the residential div	rernmental agencies of the non-government Division majority of the plaintiff de? Central Division central Division the state of the state.	YES hree judges YES f the united reside in the YES tal parties residents or the only the court requires YES	states are same	NO and the Cordivision? - NO Westers, excluding	Timonwealth (See Local rn Division g governme	h of I Rule 40.1(d)). ental agencies,
Do <u>all</u> of Massach If filling a l submit a s	the part of the pa	ired to be heard a ies in this action, governmental ag If yes, in which the Eastern Division If no, in which doesiding in Mass Eastern Division Removal - are the sheet identifying	and determined , excluding governoties"), residential division do the residential div	rernmental agencies of the non-governmental Division majority of the plaintiff de? Central Division s pending in the state	YES hree judges YES f the united reside in the YES tal parties residents or the only the court requires YES	states are same	NO and the Cordivision? - NO Westers, excluding	mmonwealth (See Local rn Division g government rn Division of this Court	h of I Rule 40.1(d)). ental agencies,

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

1. (a) PLAINTIFF STOU	on Deurboin, pro s	10	DEFENDAN	TC	k of Court for the purpose of initiating
(b) County of Resider	nce of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	z) (Bunny of Residen	Covy Sterry nce of First Listed Defendant	Cornissions Sypr. Bur. Cy Corecon
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nat	me, Address, and Telephone Number)		NOTE: IN I	LAND CONDEMNATION CASES AND INVOLVED.	USE THE LOCATION OF THE
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION	_		Sandwic	4 4.
U.S. Government Plaintiff	OPICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) ☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	1	CIZENSHIP OF Diversity Cases Onloof This State	F PRINCIPAL PARTIE y) PTF DEF	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government Defendant	1 4 Diversity		of Another State	Incorporated or of Business In T	his State
	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	1		- Incorporated and	d Principal Place
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Citizen o Foreig	or Subject of a	O 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
CONTRACT i 10 Insurance	TORTS	PODENI			
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 Ali Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 340 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 380 Other Personal Property Damage 380 Other Personal 19 Property Damage 380 Other Personal 19 Property Damage 380 Other Personal 380 Other Personal 19 Property Damage 380 Other Personal 380 Other Personal 380 Other Personal 19 Property Damage 380 Other Personal 380 Other Pers	1 610 A 620 C 625 E 620 C 625 E 630 L 640 R 660 O 630 A 660 O 770 Fa 640 R 730 La 621 C 730 La 621 C 740 Ra 790 O 791 Em 560	LABOR air Labor Standards	PROPERTY RIGHTS \$20 Copyrights \$30 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 970 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of
V. ORIGIN Original Property 2 Rer	moved from 3 Remanded from 4	4 Reinstated	or 5 Transf	erred from	State Statutes Appeal to District
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under all	Reopened filing (Do not	(specify t cite jurisdictional	r district Y) I statutes unless diversity):	t 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAN	Prisoner		demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE(S IF ANY	S) (See instructions): RUDGE			JURY DEMAND:	Yes S No
4-1-0.5	SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	INEY OF REC	ORD	DOCKET NUMBER	
OR OFFICE USE ONLY		181	m		
RECEIPT # AMO	UNT APPLYING IFP				
	WATATIAO Ibb		JUDGE	MAG. JUDGE	